



INTERNATIONAL COMPARATIVE POLICY ANALYSIS FORUM
affiliated with JOURNAL OF COMPARATIVE POLICY ANALYSIS

DIALOGUE, DEBATES & CONTROVERSIES - Guidelines

The *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis* is committed to publishing outstanding scholarly manuscripts in all areas of Public Policy and being an outlet for innovative research on all facets of comparative policy analysis. The papers submitted should be of the highest scholarly standard and adhere to the JCPA readership's expectations as outlined in the *JCPA's* Comparative **Aims and Scope** (see www.jcpa.ca).

The *JCPA* regularly features a section entitled **Forum: Dialogue, Debates and Controversies** which appears twice a year. Its aim is to contribute to academic debates and controversies in the field of comparative public policy. It does so by publishing papers that present original ideas and arguments related to new theoretical approaches, methodological issues, and other new and relevant considerations for comparative policy inquiry. To stimulate scholarly discussion and debate, these essays are accompanied by commentaries that discuss or critique the central arguments of the contributions. Through such dialogue, the section promotes academic interaction that permits new ideas, controversies or insights not only to receive attention, but also to be explored and tested through scholarly exchange.

To be accepted for this section of the JCPA essays must feature ideas of interest to a large group of comparative policy scholars and they have to successfully pass through a review process. The essays should also not be longer than 6,000 words.

The *JCPA* publishes only high quality scholarly papers. Each paper is double-blind reviewed by three reviewers. JCPA's acceptance rate is 21%. Acceptance, revision, or rejection decisions will be conveyed to the author by the editor-in-charge of your article. Acceptance or rejection decisions are reached in consultation with the Editor-in-chief who has final authority.

The *JCPA* commits to a particularly fast response track. Articles submitted receive a first response within 10-12 days, within which time, if found suitable, it is assigned three reviewers. Reviewers are requested to submit their reviews within 45 days. Nevertheless, the topic, the quality and contents of the articles, as well as timely adequate submissions and resubmissions are the responsibility of the author under whose name the article appears.

For any further questions do not hesitate to contact the Editors of this Section Frank Fischer, Rutgers University, New Jersey ffischer24@gmx.net and/or Philippe Zitoun, University of Lyon pzittoun@gmail.com and/or Ms. Diana Walker, Editorial Manager at jcpa@sfu.ca

